

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/034,232                             | 12/27/2001      | Leo Temoshenko       | 062891.0662          | 9099            |
| 5073                                   | 7590 04/06/2005 |                      | EXAMINER             |                 |
| BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.<br>2001 ROSS AVENUE |                 |                      | CHUNG, JI YONG DAVID |                 |
| SUITE 600                              |                 |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER    |
| DALLAS, TX 75201-2980                  |                 |                      | 2143                 |                 |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ji-Yong D. Chung   2143  |   | 10/034,232  | TEMOSHENKO ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elettrecine for the may be writted under the provided of 3° CFR 1.35(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field  Elettrecine for the may be available under the provided of 5° CFR 1.75(b). In sevent, however, may a reply be timely field  If the period for reply segended above, he makinary of 3° CFR 1.35(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field  If the period for reply segended above, he makinary and satistive, packed wall specified with part of the period for reply will, by advanced the application to become AdMANDONED (30° U.S. 5° 130).  If the period for reply segender above, he makinary and stations price will apply and well explicitly (50° MONTHS from the malling date of this communication of the provided and station is provided and station and and         | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of stree may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). Inno event, however, may a neply be timbly field  - Extension of the major be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). Inno event, however, may a neply be timbly field  - Extension of the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). Inno event, however, may a neply be timbly field  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via apply and via expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Familes in provision is a specified above, the maximum statutory period via apply and via expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Familes in provision is specified above, the maximum statutory period via apply and via expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Familes in provision of the specified above, the maximum statutory period via apply and via expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Status  - In Sta         |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field of the SIX (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication. Purplement of this programs of the SIX (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication. Purplement of the SIX (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication of the SIX (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seminer patient term self-seminer. See 57 CFR 1.74(6).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 December 2001.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1.25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1.25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1.25 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(e).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) some c) None of:  1)  Notice of D |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2001. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4]□ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]□ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7]□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6]□ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7]□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9]□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si8(08)  4)□ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s/Mail Date. 5)□ Notice of Drafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)□ Notice of Drafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)□ Notice of Drafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)□ Notice o                              | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum start period when the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the ortified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De  | ecember 2001.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)  | 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)   | ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Portstsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colongological None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1  | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   | -(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | _ ``  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/034,232

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 11-12, 16-18, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aviani et al (Aviani hereinafter).

With regard to claim 1, Aviani shows a system comprising:

a line interface operable to receive a set-up request packet [interfaces 68, Fig. 8. See lines 21-38, column 17. Note that each of the BOOM server and BOOM client has an interface]; a switch processor operable to process set-up request packet [BOOM server ("a switch processor") in Fig. 2, but implemented as in Fig. 8. See lines 21-38, column 17] and a plurality of processors, the switch processor operable to direct the set-up request

packet to a selected one of the plurality of processors [BOOM client servers in Fig. 2, but implemented as in Fig. 8. See lines 21-38, column 17];

the selected one of the plurality of processors operable to generate a set-up reply packet in response to the set-up request packet [BOOM client server ("plurality of processors") in Fig. 2. In lines 1-24, column 8, it is noted that BOOM client server responds to a client's SYN

Art Unit: 2143

packet], the set-up reply packet including a virtual identifier associated with the selected one of the plurality of processors as assigned by the switch processor [See lines 55-67, column 8. The unique identifier referenced in the passage is the "virtual identifier".], the selected one of the plurality of processors operable to transport the set-up reply packet through the line interface in order to establish communication session with the selected one of the plurality of processors.

The selected one of the BOOM client servers is operable to transport the ACK through its interface. See Fig. 2 and Fig. 8.

Note that the system maybe implemented with network elements (e.g., routers, switches). See liens 12-20, column 17.

With regard to claim 2, Aviani shows the line interface is operable to receive an information request packet in the communication session, the information request packet including the virtual identifier, the line interface operable to direct the information request packet to the selected one the plurality of processors associated with the virtual identifier. The line interface of the BOOM server (see lines 21-38, column 17) is operable to receive information request packet (see lines 54-50, column 7). The information packets are directed to the BOOM client servers and thus have virtual identifiers. See lines 36-55, column 10 for the description of BOOM server redirecting messages to BOOM client servers. The unique identifier maybe an IP address or it maybe assigned by the BOOM server.

With regard to claim 3, Aviani shows the selected one of the plurality of processors is operable to generate an information reply packet in response to the information request packet,

the information reply packet including the virtual identifier [See lines 55-68, column 8, where it is indicated that BOOM client server generates ACK in response to SYN]

Page 4

With regard to **claim 4**, Aviani shows a virtual identification manager, the virtual identification manager comprising one or more associations of one or more virtual identifiers with one or more of the plurality of processor. See lines 50-54, column 8. The BOOM server assigns starting a unique starting number. Furthermore see lines 39-44, column 8. The BOOM client server sequence number includes information for identifying the particular BOOM client server. Note that in assigning the virtual identifier, the BOOM server must keep track of the associations between the identifier and the BOOM client server; otherwise, BOOM server could not use assigned id's to direct packets to BOOM client server. Therefore, the claimed feature is inherent in Aviani.

With regard to **claim 5**, Aviani shows the switch processor is operable to designate the associations between virtual identifiers and the plurality of processors to the line interface. See interfaces 68, Fig. 8. See lines 21-38, column 17. Note that each of the BOOM server and BOOM client has an interface. The BOOM server is able to direct messages via its interface, using the association between the virtual identifiers and the BOOM client servers; otherwise, it would not be able to select one of the BOOM client servers.

With regard to claim 6, Aviani shows switching fabric operable to route packets to the plurality of processors, the line interface operable to provide information packets received in the

Application/Control Number: 10/034,232 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

communication session to the switching fabric for routing to the selected one of the plurality of processors without directly engaging the switch processor. The switching fabric is the network formed by the BOOM server and BOOM client servers (BCS) to redirect packets to a particular BCS. See Fig. 2. After several exchanges of messages, the client will exclusively engage only one of the BOOM client servers (BCS). See lines 13-42, column 11.

Claims 12, 18, and 22 substantively refer to the limitation "identifying the virtual identifier" in various forms of claim language. Note that BOOM server meets the limitation, because it redirects traffic to the BOOM client servers (BCS) based on the intercepted messages and the idenbedded in the packet. See lines 36-55, column 10.

Claims 11-12, 16-18, and 21-22 substantively incorporate a number of limitations from the set of all limitations of claims 1-6, but in method form, means-plus-function form, and in software product form, rather than in apparatus form. The reasons for the rejections of claims 1-6 apply to claims 11-12, 16-18, and 21-22. Therefore, claims 11-12, 16-18, and 21-22 are rejected for substantially the same reasons.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,232 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

4. Claims 10, 15, 20, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Aviani.

With regard to claim 10, Aviani does not show that the plurality of processors are

operable to query the switch processor for an associated virtual identifier upon initialization.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have BCS client servers ("plurality of processors"), upon initialization, query the

BOOM server ("switch processor"), because the design obviates the implementation of server

code (in hardware or software) for detecting BCS ready-to-operate condition and distributing the

virtual identifier. Such code places additional computational burden on the server and

unnecessarily increases the network traffic.

Claims 15, 20, and 25 substantively incorporate all the limitations of claim 10, but in

method form, means-plus function form, and software product form, rather than in apparatus

form. The reasons for the rejections of claim 10 apply to claims 15, 20, and 25. Therefore,

claims 15, 20, and 25 are rejected for substantially the same reasons.

5. Claims 7-9, 13-14, 19, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Aviani in view of Hamami.

In reference to claim 7, Aviani does not show the switch processor selects a backup processor addition to the selected one of the plurality of processors, the backup processor operable to process the communication session in response to a failure in the selected one of the plurality of processors. Hamami shows a backup network element from line 65, column 1 to line 6, column 2.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a redundant network element (i.e., backup) for one of BOOM client servers ("plurality of processors"), in order to provide for redundancy and high degree of fault recovery (See from line 65, column 1 to line 6, column 2).

In reference to **claim 8**, Aviani does not show that *the backup processor is assigned* selected one of the virtual identifiers. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for the virtual identifier, because without the identifier, the backup processor would not be able to serve as a failover. It must have the identifier in order for the BOOM server to direct the initial traffic to the backup server.

In reference to claim 9, Aviani does not show the switch processor provides state information to the backup processor, the state information associated with the communication session associated with the selected one of the plurality of processors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the backup processor query the switch processor, because in case of failure, the failed

processor may not be able to provide the necessary information. The central processor (switch processor) must provide it.

Claims 13 and 23 substantively incorporate all the limitations of claims 7-9, but in method form and software product form, rather than in apparatus form. The reasons for the rejections of claims 7-9 apply to claims 13 and 23. Therefore, claims 13 and 23 are rejected for substantially the same reasons.

In reference to claims 14, 19, and 24, the substance of all their limitations, except two, have been discussed. The discussion of the two limitations follows, with reference to claim 14. Claims 19 and 24 incorporate the two limitations in means-plus-function form and in software product form, rather than in method form. Therefore, the following discussion also applies to claims 19 and 24.

### In **claim 14**, the two limitations are:

determining whether the selected one of the plurality of processors associated with the virtual identifier is operational [Hamami shows failure detection in Fig. 7. Aviani does not show the step]; and

directing the data packet to the backup processor in response to the selected one of the plurality of processors associated with the virtual identifier not being operational [Hamami shows the redirecting the packets to the redundant network element from the failed one (See Fig. 7)].

Art Unit: 2143

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ji-Yong D. Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-7988. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ji-Yong D. Chung Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 2143